

Accelerating Universe and Event Horizon

Xiao-Gang He

Department of Physics, National Taiwan University, Taipei, Taiwan 10764, R.O.C.

Abstract

It has been argued in the literature that if a universe is expanding with an accelerating rate indefinitely, it presents a challenge to string theories due to the existence of event horizons. We study the fate of a currently accelerating universe. We show that the universe will continue to accelerate indefinitely if the parameter $\omega = p/\rho$ of the equation of state is a constant, no matter how many different types of energy (matter, radiation, quintessence, cosmological constant and etc) are contained in the universe. This type of universe will always exhibit an event horizon indicating that such a universe may not be derived from string theories. We also comment on some related issues.

PACS numbers:

Typeset using REVTeX

Introduction

It is well known that from observation of red-shift of light from distant galaxies and other evidences that our universe is an expanding one [1]. Recently direct evidences from the study of Hubble diagram for Type Ia supernovae [2] show that our universe is not only expanding, but also undergoing through an accelerating expansion era. Also data from cosmic microwave background (CMB) radiation [3] indicate that the dominant energy form of our universe may be from a cosmological constant which also leads to an accelerating expansion. If the accelerating expansion last forever the universe will exhibit an event horizon, there exist regions of the universe which will be inaccessible to light probes. It has been argued that a universe exhibiting an event horizon presents a challenge for string theories, which are the only known theories can treatment gravity and quantum mechanics consistently, that among several difficulties it is not possible to construct a conventional S-matrix because the local observer inside his/her horizon is not able to isolate particles to be scattered [4,5]. Some specific examples of a universe exhibiting event horizons have been studied [5,6]. In this paper we study whether a universe will undergo an accelerating expansion indefinitely if it is currently accelerating, for a class of models with several forms of energy.

For a universe which contains different energies, there are some subtle issues need to be handled with care. To start with we emphasis that a universe with dominant energy coming from cosmological constant if not carefully balanced, for that matter any form of quintessence, may not be able to evolve from the past to present. With a Friedmann-Robertson-Walker (FRW) metric,

$$d^2s = d^2t - R^2(t)\left[\frac{d^2r}{1 - kr^2} + r^2(d^2\theta + \sin^2\theta d^2\phi)\right], \quad (1)$$

and conservation of energy, the energy density at different times, if each type of energy is separately coinserved, is related to the scaling factor $R(t)$ by [1,7]

$$\Omega^i = \Omega_0^i x^{-3(1+\omega_i)}, \quad x = \frac{R}{R_0}, \quad (2)$$

where Ω_i is the ratio of the energy density ρ_i of the i th type of energy to the critical energy density $\rho_c = 3H_0^2/8\pi G_N$ with H_0 being the present day Hubble constant. The subscript “0” on the various quantities indicate the values at the present time.

The age of the universe is given by

$$t = \int_{0^+}^t dt = \int_{0^+}^R \frac{dR}{\dot{R}}. \quad (3)$$

Here 0^+ denotes the earliest time where the FRW Big-Bang theory can be applied. Before that one needs to take into account effects from inflation and quantum gravity.

Using the Friedmann equation [1,7]

$$\begin{aligned} H^2 &= \left(\frac{\dot{R}}{R}\right)^2 = \frac{8\pi G_N}{3} \sum_i \rho_i - \frac{\kappa}{R^2} \\ &= H_0^2 \left[\sum_i \Omega_0^i x^{-3(1+\omega_i)} + (1 - \sum_i \Omega_0^i) x^{-2} \right], \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

one obtains

$$t = \frac{1}{H_0} \int_{0^+}^x \frac{dy}{\sqrt{\sum_i \Omega_0^i (y^{-(1+3\omega_i)} - 1) + 1}}. \quad (5)$$

In the above we have used the identity $\Omega^\kappa = -3\kappa/8\pi G_N R^2 H^2 = 1 - \sum_i \Omega^i$.

The above equation is only meaningful when

$$f(x) = \sum_i \Omega_0^i (x^{-(1+3\omega_i)} - 1) + 1 = \sum_i \Omega_0^i x^{-(1+3\omega_i)} + \Omega^\kappa > 0. \quad (6)$$

This is automatically true for a universe with $\kappa = 0$ and $\kappa = -1$. For $\kappa = 1$ it is not guaranteed to have $f(x) > 0$. For illustration we apply the above formula to a hypothetical universe with only Ω_0^m and Ω_0^Λ . We find

$$f(x) = \Omega_0^m x^{-1} + \Omega_0^\Lambda x^2 + (1 - \Omega_0^m - \Omega_0^\Lambda). \quad (7)$$

With $\Omega_0^m = 0.1$ and $\Omega_0^\Lambda = 1.5$, the universe is an accelerating one at present with dominant energy from cosmological constant. However this is a universe which can not evolve from the past to the present because $f(x)$ is not always larger than zero. We find that there are three roots for $f(x)$ at x equal to: -0.70, 0.18, and 0.51. The regions with $f(x) > 0$ are:

$-0.70 < x < 0.18$, and $x > 0.51$. This implies that if the universe started from $x = 0$, it can only evolve to $x = 0.18$ with a finite life time, but can not evolve to the present ($x = 1$); Or it can only evolve from $x = 0.51$ to the present and to the future indefinitely. To have a physical universe which can evolve from $t = 0^+$ to the present and have a future, the energy distributions are constrained. One should be careful to select cosmological parameters to make sure that the universe is physical in all times, past, present and may be future.

Accelerating Expansion

In order to have an accelerating expansion, one needs to have the deceleration parameter $q = -\ddot{R}/H_0^2 R$ to be less than zero. From Einstein equation [1,7],

$$\frac{\ddot{R}}{R} = -\frac{4\pi G_N}{3}(\rho + 3p), \quad (8)$$

one can write q as

$$q(x) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_i \Omega_0^i x^{-3(1+\omega_i)} (1 + 3\omega_i). \quad (9)$$

An accelerating universe at present time requires the present deceleration parameter q_0 to be less than 0, that is

$$q_0 = q(1) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_i \Omega_0^i (1 + 3\omega_i) < 0, \quad (10)$$

which implies that at least one of the energies has $\omega_i < -1/3$. Energy forms with $\omega < -1/3$ have been studied extensively in the literature from quintessence to cosmological constant [1,9]. Quintessence induced by scalar potentials has a ω in the range of -1 to 1 , while cosmological constant has a $\omega = -1$.

If the present universe contains only one type of energy and this energy has a constant $\omega < -1/3$, the universe is guaranteed to be in accelerating expansion state and will do so forever in the future. It should be noted that this universe can not be a closed one because in the distant past with $x \rightarrow 0^+$, $f(x) < 0$ as have been discussed in the previous section. To describe a universe for all times, there must be other forms of energy with $\omega > -1/3$.

However if the universe contains more than one types of energy, one of the energies with $\omega < -1/3$ may not be enough to have an accelerating expansion at present. Let us consider a universe which contains just two types of energy with one of them having $1 + 3\omega_1 > 0$ and another having $1 + 3\omega_2 < 0$. The accelerating expansion requires

$$\omega_2 < -\frac{1}{3}[1 + (1 + 3\omega_1)\frac{\Omega_0^1}{\Omega_0^2}]. \quad (11)$$

For a universe with a matter energy $\Omega_0^m = 0.3$ and a quintessence energy $\Omega_0^q = 0.7$, one would have to have $\omega_q < -10/21$ which is considerably smaller than $-1/3$.

It is also interesting to note that with the constraint $\omega_2 > -1$, an accelerating expansion implies

$$\frac{\Omega_0^1}{\Omega_0^2} < \frac{2}{1 + 3\omega_1}. \quad (12)$$

For a universe with matter and one quintessence, Ω_0^m/Ω_0^q must be less than 2.

We now study whether a universe will forever accelerate if it is presently accelerating with many different types of energy. The answer to this problem depends on how the parameter ω_i for each form of energy changes with time. Without detailed information on this, it is not possible to obtain an answer. Here we will consider a very simple and interesting case that all ω_i do not change with time. In this case the answer is simple that a currently accelerating universe will maintain its acceleration forever, no matter how many different types of energy are contained in the universe. Of course to make sure the universe can evolve from $t = 0^+$ to the present, we have to also assume $f(x) > 0$ for all $x > 0^+$ is satisfied.

The decelerating parameter can be written as a sum of the contribution ($A(x)$) from all energies with $1 + 3\omega_i > 0$, and of the contribution ($B(x)$) from all energies with $1 + 3\omega_i < 0$, as

$$\begin{aligned} q(x) &= \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{x^2} [A(x) + B(x)], \\ A(x) &= \sum_{1+3\omega_i > 0} \Omega_0^i x^{-(1+3\omega_i)} (1 + 3\omega_i), \\ B(x) &= \sum_{1+3\omega_i < 0} \Omega_0^i x^{-(1+3\omega_i)} (1 + 3\omega_i). \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

By definition, $A(x) > 0$ and $B(x) < 0$.

The condition $q_0 < 0$ requires $A(1) < |B(1)|$. Since $A(x)$ is composed of terms with negative powers in x and $B(x)$ is composed of terms with positive powers in x , it is clear that

$$A(x > 1) < A(1), \quad |B(x > 1)| > |B(1)|. \quad (14)$$

This leads to

$$q(x > 1) < q_0 < 0. \quad (15)$$

The universe will accelerate forever.

In the past $q(x) < 0$ is not guaranteed. For small enough x , $A(x)$ will become larger than $|B(x)|$. The universe must have gone through a decelerating era and evolved to the present accelerating era. For example, if there is only one dark energy with $\omega^q = p/\rho$, the transition of deceleration and acceleration occurred at $x = -(1 + 3\omega^q)\Omega_0^q/\Omega_0^m)^{1/3\omega^q}$. With $\Omega_0^m = 0.3$ and $\Omega_0^q = 0.7$, for vacuum energy the transition happened at redshift $z = 0.67$. This is consistent with the recent data from observations of SN 1997ff at $z \sim 1.7$ [8].

Existence of Event Horizons

If the universe is accelerating indefinitely, for any two objects separated by a fixed co-moving distance, their relative proper speed will reach the speed of light after sometime, and they will cease to communicate. Therefore the universe will exhibit an event horizon. More precisely if the integral

$$D_H(\infty) = \int_t^\infty \frac{dt}{R(t)}, \quad (16)$$

is finite, the universe exhibits an event horizon [5].

We now show that under the conditions given in the previous section, $D_H(\infty)$ is finite. To this end let us first consider the behavior of $R(t)$ at large t . Since the universe is accelerating, at least one of the ω_i is less than $-1/3$, and some of the terms in $f(x)$ defined in eq. (6)

must have positive powers in x . Letting ω_l be the smallest one of all ω_i , at sufficiently large t and t' , $f(x) \approx \Omega_0^l x^{-(1+3\omega_l)}$. One has

$$t - t' = \frac{1}{H_0} \int_{R'/R_0}^{R/R_0} \frac{dx}{\sqrt{f(x)}} \approx \frac{1}{H_0 \Omega_0^{l/2}} \int_{R'/R_0}^{R/R_0} x^{(1+3\omega_l)/2} dx. \quad (17)$$

and therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} R(t) &\sim t^{2/3(1+\omega_l)}, & \text{for } -1 < \omega_l < -1/3, \\ &\sim e^{\Omega_0^{l/2} H_0 t}, & \text{for } \omega_l = -1. \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

Using the above, one obtains

$$\begin{aligned} D_H(\infty) &\sim \int_{t'}^{\infty} t^{-2/3(1+\omega_l)} dt \sim t^{(1+3\omega_l)/3(1+\omega_l)} \Big|_{t=\infty}^{t'}, & \text{for } -1 < \omega_l < -1/3, \\ &\sim \int_{t'}^{\infty} e^{-\Omega_0^{l/2} H_0 t} dt, & \text{for } \omega_l = -1. \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

It is obvious that for the case with $\omega_l = -1$, $D_H(\infty)$ is finite. For the case with $-1 < \omega_l < -1/3$, since $(1+3\omega_l)/3(1+\omega_l) < 0$, $D_H(\infty)$ is also finite.

$D_H(\infty)$ is finite can also be shown by rewriting D_H in terms of Ω_0^i , ω_i and x as

$$\begin{aligned} D_H(\infty) &= \int_{R'}^{\infty} \frac{dR}{R\dot{R}} = \frac{1}{R_0} \int_{x'}^{\infty} \frac{dx}{x^2 \dot{H}} \\ &= \frac{1}{R_0 H_0} \int_{x'}^{\infty} \frac{dx}{x \sqrt{\sum_i \Omega_0^i (x^{-(1+3\omega_i)} - 1) + 1}}. \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

For large enough x' , D_H can be well approximated by

$$D_H(\infty) \approx \frac{1}{R_0 H_0 \Omega_0^{l/2}} \int_{x'}^{\infty} x^{(1+3\omega_l)/2-1} dx. \quad (21)$$

Since $1+3\omega_l < 0$, the above integral is finite.

We therefore have shown that a universe always exhibits an event horizon if it is accelerating at present with constant ω_i .

Discussions

There are indications that the matter (visible, baryonic and dark matter) contributes about one third of the energy, $\Omega_0^m \approx 0.3$ [1]. Also from CMB data [3], the total energy

is determined to be very close to one, if the rest of the energy is from one type of energy (neglecting small radiation energy), then $\Omega_0^q \approx 0.7$. If the evidences from type Ia supernovae [2] showing that the present universe is accelerating are confirmed, it is possible that 70% of the energy in our universe is composed of energies with $\omega_q < -1/3$. If the universe contains just matter and one other form of energy, its ω_q must be less than -0.4762 . This energy could be due to cosmological constant or quintessence. The universe may forever accelerate and exhibit an event horizon. This may present a challenge to string theories which are candidate theories describing consistently gravity and quantum mechanics, and therefore the cosmology [4,5]. The accelerating universe discussed in the previous sections may not be obtained from string theories.

The conclusion that a presently accelerating universe will lead to the existence of event horizons crucially depends on the assumption that the parameters ω_i for the equation of states are constant in time. If ω_i changes with time, the situation may change. Several calculations based on quintessence models with varying ω_q concluded that a currently accelerating universe will continue to accelerate and exhibit event horizons [4,9]. In fact from our previous discussions that even if ω_i change with time and the universe is not accelerating now as long as one of the ω_i will be less than $-1/3$ from some later time on, the universe will eventually become an accelerating one and exhibit an event horizon.

Our universe, of course, may be much more complicated than what have been assumed in the previous sections and more complicated than some of the simple quintessence models. One can not exclude the possibility that the presently accelerating universe will become a decelerating one or a universe with $q > -1/3$ in the future due to some mechanisms. In fact such models exist such as the mechanism discussed in Ref. [10] that for a class of scalar potentials the vacuum domination is only a transient phenomenon. Quintessence scalar decays due to interactions with matter can also stop the acceleration [11]. To have a better understanding of the fate of our universe, accelerating forever or not, more experimental information about present day cosmological parameters and further theoretical studies are needed.

I thank T.H. Chiueh and Miao Li for discussions. This was supported in part by National Science Council under grant NSC 89-2112-M-002-058 and in part by the Ministry of Education Academic Excellence Project 89-N-FA01-1-4-3.

REFERENCES

- [1] For a recent review see: J. Garcia-Bellido, e-print hep-ph/0004188.
- [2] S. Perlmutter et al., Nature **391**, 51(1998); Astrophys. J. **517**, 565(1999); A.G. Riess et al., Astron. J. **116**, 1009(1998).
- [3] P. de Bernardis et al., Boomerang Coll., Nature **404**, 955(2000); S. Hanany et al., Maxima Coll., Astrophys. J. **545**, L1-L4 (2000).
- [4] T. Banks, e-print hep-th/0007146, hep-th/0011255; T. Banks and W. Fischler, e-print hep-th/0102077; R. Bousso, e-print hep-th 9905177, hep-th/0010252, hep-th/0012052.
- [5] S. Hellerman, N. Kaloper and L. Susskind, e-print hep-th/0104180; W. Fischler et al., e-print hep-th/0104181.
- [6] A. Arbab, e-print gr-qc/9905066.
- [7] For an introduction for cosmology see: E.W. Kolb and M.S. Turner, “ The Early Universe”, Addison Wesley (1990).
- [8] A.G. Riess et al., e-print astro-ph/0104455.
- [9] P.J. Steinhardt, <http://feynman.princeton.edu/~steinh/>.
- [10] J. Barrow, R. Bean and J. Magueijo, Mon. Not. Astron. Soc. 316, L41-4(2000); A. Albrecht and C. Skordis, Phys. Rev. Lett. **84**, 2076(2000).
- [11] S.M. Carroll, Phys. Rev. Lett. **81**, 3067(1998).